



May 12, 2015

U.S. Department of Transportation
Docket Management Facility
Room W12-140
1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE
Washington, DC 20590-0001

RE: Docket No. FHWA-2015-0001

Dear Docket Clerk:

On behalf of the more than 1,500 member organizations of the American Public Transportation Association (APTA), I write to provide comments on the Federal Transit Administration's (FTA) and Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) joint request for comments on Revised Guidance on the Environmental Review Process, published on March 13, 2015 at 80 FR 13458.

About APTA

APTA is a non-profit international trade association of more than 1,500 public and private member organizations, including public transit systems; high-speed intercity passenger rail agencies; planning, design, construction and finance firms; product and service providers; academic institutions; and state associations and departments of transportation. More than ninety percent of Americans who use public transportation are served by APTA member transit systems.

General Comments

APTA supports many of the proposed revisions and believes these revisions should generally help clarify the environmental review process. We suggest the Administrations specifically address the applicability of the proposed guidance; specifically, that the proposed guidance applies solely to Environmental Impact Statements (EIS).

Coordinating/Participating Agencies

To more fully explain the intent of Q&A 19, we recommend inserting, in the last paragraph of the answer, "However, they should consider the applicability and potential interest of those agencies relative to the proposed action and the project area to revise that list as appropriate" between the two existing sentences.

Executive Committee

*Chair
Phillip A. Washington*

*Vice Chair
Valarie J. McCall*

*Secretary-Treasurer
Doran J. Barnes*

*Immediate Past Chair
Peter Varga*

*Members-at-Large
Michael A. Allegra
Christopher P. Boylan
Nathaniel P. Ford Sr.
Huelon A. Harrison
Angela Iannuzziello
Paul C. Jablonski
Jeanne Krieg
Donna P. McNamee
Rosa Navejar
Keith T. Parker
Thomas F. Prendergast
Michael A. Sanders
Patrick J. Scully
Carl G. Sedoryk*

*President & CEO
Michael P. Melaniphy*

Preferred Alternative

Q&A 30 should be amended to include reference to 40 CFR 1505.2 (b) regarding the environmentally preferable alternative(s), as follows: “This should include the consideration of the environmentally preferable alternative, including adequate information describing whether or not it is the preferred alternative in order to comply with the provisions of 40 CFR 1505.2 (b) that specify that this information be included in the Record of Decision.”

In Q&A 32, through 34, the draft discusses development of an alternative to a higher level of detail. The Administrations should remove this discussion or more fully explain what the phrase “higher level of detail” means, whether it is related to the concept of a higher level of design, and under what circumstances FTA might require such “higher level of detail.”

Coordination and Schedule

Q&A 41 discusses the optional nature of optional schedules in FTA sponsored projects, but should be expanded to explain the circumstances under which FTA would require a project schedule.

We appreciate the opportunity to assist FTA & FHWA in this important endeavor. For additional information, please contact James LaRusch, APTA’s chief counsel and vice president corporate affairs, at (202) 496-4808 or jlarsch@apta.com.

Sincerely yours,



Michael P. Melaniphy
President & CEO

MPM/jpl:jr